

Precise Astrometry today and tomorrow with Next-Generation Observatories

International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research



Maria J. Rioja ICRAR –CSIRO (Australia) & OAN (Spain)





THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA



Overview

Sample of Astrophysical Applications in a variety of fields Bona fide astrometric measurements with VLBI 2016-2018

(1) SKA and methods for high precision (~ μ as) astrometry MultiView and Pathfinder demonstration (2) VLBI in Gaia era **Comparisons and comments Galactic Structure** 3) Updates on BeSSeL /VERA project (4) Evolved Stars and chromatic-Astrometry Results from the KVN (5) AGN core-shifts & alternative Calibration Methods UVPAP, MFPR **Technological Developments relevant to astrometry** 6) BRAND, PAFs, Global multi-freq. mm-VLBI array

2



 Long baselines, large collecting areas to reduce <u>thermal errors</u>



2) Requires a matching improvement in **methods** to calibrate out *systematic errors*.



(independent atmospheres)

The Many Faces of the Propagation Medium



High Frequencies & Troposphere





Sketch showing the limitations of general PR at low frequencies



OPTIONS to overcome DDEs:

One VERY close reference source and use conventional PR (in-beam)



Our hypothesis to overcome DDEs with: Multiple (3) reference sources, further away, 2D interpolation in visibility domain → MultiView (Rioja, Dodson+, 09,17)



Our hypothesis to overcome DDEs with: Multiple (3) reference sources, further away, 2D interpolation in visibility domain → MultiView (Rioja, Dodson+, 09,17)



Our hypothesis to overcome DDEs with: Multiple (3) reference sources, further away, 2D interpolation in visibility domain → MultiView (Rioja, Dodson+, 09,17)



Demonstration of MultiView: Comparative Astrometric Analysis

VLBA observations at L-band, AGNs / OH maser. 2 epochs, 1 month apart



(Rioja+ 2017; Orosz+ 2017)

ANALYSIS METHODS:

→ Phase Referencing (PR) : Single Calibrator (no spatial interpolation), range of angular separations (△Θ =2°, 4°, 6°, 0.4°)
→ MultiView (MV) : Three Calibrators together + 2 D interpolation to position of target.

FoM: Use astrometric repeatability at both epochs as empirical estimate of systematics.

Comparative Astrometry (PR 2°; 4°; 6° vs. MV 2°+4°+6°): Position Accuracy



Ultra Precise Astrometry with SKA-VLBI

Huge increase in sensitivity (very small thermal noise errors) *Potential for 10 micro-arcsecond astrometric accuracy at L-band* Requires matching calibration of ionospheric systematic effects



Beams for MultiView Observations







FAST19-beams array receiver for MultiView







Multibeam receiver 1050-1450 MHz



FAST19-beams array receiver for MultiView



Multi Beam capabilities at other frequencies (i.e. 1.6 GHz) would enable other science (OH-maser ultra precise astrometry)



Multi Beam capaonica and frequencies (i.e. 1.6 GHz) would enable other science (OH-maser ultra precise astrometry)



Early Science Case for FAST – VLBI: Ultra Precise Pulsar Astrometry Improve parallax/proper motion to enhance timing results



Many suitable targets.

Proof of concept of MultiView with MB already demonstrated using Parkes







- Astrometry rapidly reaches systematic limits; increased sensitivity does not improve accuracy
- Ultra Precise Astrometry with SKA-VLBI requires new experimental methods: MultiView addresses these requirements.
- FAST, like SKA, provides increased sensitivity. FAST has a MultiBeam receiver, so can perform MultiView-VLBI
- FAST can provide an early science demonstrator for ultra precise astrometry in SKA-era.



Review of Recent Advances

Constrained by Selection Effects and Biases

Astrometry continues to demonstrate wide applicability ⇒ Many many results to cover! Limited to:

`bona-fide' astrometric measurements with VLBI 2016-2018

Attempt to break down by technique (e.g. in-beam, SFPR, MV)

Link to new technological developments that facilitate techniques (e.g. *increased sensitivity, simultaneous freq., multiple beams*)





Gaia DR2 – data collected the first 22 months (Gaia Collaboration + 2018)



Comparison between Gaia and Accurate VLBI astrometry to verify Gaia results.

Very active field!

Parallax uncertainties: 0.04 mas (G<15); 0.1 mas (G=17); 0.7 mas (G=20) All sources treated as single stars.

Systematics < 0.1 mas depend on position, magnitude, colour

Bias ~ 30 μ as (Lindegren+ 2018)

VLBI Phase referencing Accuracy ~ 10 µas Comparable to, or better than Gaia's target accuracy

1. Radio Astrometry in the Gaia Era



Mellis + 2018 https://osf.io/byrcf/

Remember... Hipparcos Pleiades Distance controversy

1. Radio Astrometry in the Gaia Era



Gaia struggles with binaries and dusty stars			
1			
Source Astrometric Parameter	VLBI Value	Gaia Value	Gaia Astrometric Excess Noise (mas)
Pleiades Triple System HII 3197			
Parallax (mas)	$7.27 {\pm} 0.08$	$2.22{\pm}0.71$	2.56
$\rm pmRA~(masyr^{-1})$	$+18.0\pm0.8$	$+31.1\pm0.9$	
$\rm pmDE~(masyr^{-1})$	$-42.5{\pm}1.8$	$-41.4{\pm}0.9$	
Bright Young Binary System V1046 Ori			
Parallax (mas)	$2.64{\pm}0.075$	0.44 ± 0.17	0.62
$\rm pmRA~(masyr^{-1})$	$+1.88{\pm}0.09$	$+0.45 {\pm} 0.39$	
$\rm pmDE~(masyr^{-1})$	$+1.2{\pm}0.14$	$+2.5{\pm}0.38$	
Young Embedded Binary System Oph S1			
Parallax (mas)	$7.24{\pm}0.09$	8.16 ± 0.11	0.65
$pmRA \ (mas \ yr^{-1})$	-2.05 ± 0.02	-2.17 ± 0.25	
$\rm pmDE~(masyr^{-1})$	$-26.72{\pm}0.04$	$-23.55{\pm}0.16$	
Dusty Red Supergiant Star VY CMa			
Parallax (mas)	$0.855 {\pm} 0.057$	-5.92 ± 0.82	4.48
$\rm pmRA~(masyr^{-1})$	-2.800 ± 0.58	$+0.93\pm1.77$	
$\rm pmDE~(masyr^{-1})$	$+2.60{\pm}0.58$	$-6.47{\pm}1.75$	

All outliers within 3σ agreement with VLBI when astrometric excess noise is added in quadrature Differe to Gaia quoted uncertainties (whenever $\sigma > 2!$). Combined uncertainty

BI-Gaia AGN position offsets favor parsec-scale jet direction

Matches: 9081; radio images at mas scale for 8143; present for half data



CRAR

Histogram of the distribution of the Gaia/VLBI position angle offsets wrt VLBI jet directions (from analysis of VLBI images at mas scales).

> Preferable direction along the jet, and at a smaller extent in the direction opposite to the jet.

Anticipate that a study of VLBI/Gaia position offsets will become a power tool for probing properties of the accretion disk and the relativistic jet in the AGNs \rightarrow Talk by L. Petrov

Petrov + 2017, 2018 Kovalev + 2017 EVN Symp. 2018: Rioja 25 2. Maser astrometry 2. Maser astrometry 2. Maser astrometry And Galactic Structure Mapping Spiral Structure with VLBI And Galactic Structure With VLBI And Galactic Structure With VLBI And Galactic Structure With VLBI



- Parallaxes: ~170 parallaxes for massive young stars
- Arms assigned by CO l-v plot
- Tracing most spiral arms, eg... Outer arm traced Perseus arm "gap" Local arm significant Sagittarius arm
- Inner, bar-region is complicated

Reid et al. 2014, 2016 Honma et al. 2012

Plan view of the Milky Way with locations of HMSFR with trigonometric parallaxes.

Most distant parallax to date on the far side of the MW (H₂Omasers) $\pi = 49 \pm 6 \mu as$ (D=20.4 ± 2.8 -2.2 kpc) G007.47+00.05





RELEVANCE:

Map the spiral structure of our Galaxy and to determine fundamental Parameters, such as the rotation velocity and distance to the GC.



Widespread impact on astrophysics:

The increase in speed increases the Milky Way's mass by 50 percent, bringing it even with the Andromeda Galaxy



Different Astrometric calibration than for H₂O masers Reid + 2017 *(similar to MultiView in Image Domain) "artificial quasar method"* Plan to use MultiView Rioja,Dodson+ 2017



Temporal Evolution



KVN SFPR astrometry testing the pumping models & astro-chemistry 3. Late stages on Enabled by the innovative KVN receiver system

Single Frequency Receiver



Simultaneous Multi-Frequency Receiver



00



A. Jet Physics of AGNS Revealing a recollimation Shocks in AGNS *(VLBA, MFPR)* Going beyond the B&K core-shift to unveil the standing shock, for BL-Lac

There are many reasons (particularly the association of gamma ray & radio flares (Marscher, Nature '12) to believe that in Blazars there are standing shocks at which the B&K model breaks-down. These should be revealed at the higher frequencies.















TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS RELEVANT TO ASTROMETRY



1. BRAND EVN

BRoad bAND EVN, a project to build a prototype primary focus receiver for the EVN (and other telescopes) with a very wide frequency range from

1.5 GHz to 15.5 GHz

- Innovative, very wide bandwidth.
- To use full bandwidth requires coherent fringe-fitting over the very wide frequency range, Including v⁻² term for ionosphere plus linear (v) slope, carried out inside CASA with RINGS.

<u>RELEVANT FOR ASTROMETRY AT CM-wavelengths:</u> With coherent fringe-fitting chromatic astrometry information between simultaneous images at different frequencies.

The BRAND EVN partners include Germany (MPIfR), Italy (INAF), Sweden (OSO), Spain (IGN), The Netherlands (ASTRON), and Latvia (VIRAC). <u>Project Engineer Gino Tuccari; Project Manager: Walter Alef</u>





Dodson + 2017

"The science case for simultaneous mm-wavelength receivers in radio astronomy" Outcome of ERATEC meeting held in Florence Oct. 2015

Techniques relevant for ALMA (long baselines)



Summary

- \blacktriangleright Bona fide Precise Astrometry adds a new dimension to your research, with positions, proper motion, distances, and direct registration of temporal and frequency monitoring.
- > Fundamental contribution to many research fields in astrophysics: widely applicable to many targets and at a wide range of frequencies (m to (sub)mm waves) in conjunction with appropriate methods.
- All regimes, ground VLBI & Space VLBI
- Developments of new calibration methods and new instruments are providing a leap in the astrometric performance.





Acknowledgements: *This presentation has received* funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 730562 [RadioNet] EVN Symp. 2018: Rioja





- Astrometry rapidly reaches systematic limits; increased sensitivity does not improve accuracy
- MultiView calibration results in superior ionospheric calibration with angular separations of a few degrees. Simultaneous observations will improve results.
- General calibration method, for all frequencies. Demonstration shown VLBA obs. @ 1.6 GHz; also successful 6.7 GHz; trying at 0.3 GHz
- MV is widely applicable right now, with:
 - Simultaneous observations with PAFs /multi-beam
 - Fast-source switching

For SKA-VLBI, with multiple in-beam calibrators, will deliver 10 micro-as astrometric accuracy.